UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

| United States of America, |) Case No. 13 - 0466 JSW |
|---|---|
| <i>Plaintiff</i> , v. | STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEND IR A DCT |
| MELVIN LANDRY, JR, |) JUL 19 2013 |
| Defendant. | RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| Trial Act from $\frac{7/19}{}$, 2013, to continuance outweigh the best interest of | e record on, 2013, the Court excludes time under the Speedy, 2013 and finds that the ends of justice served by the the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ling and bases this continuance on the following factors: |
| Failure to grant a continuous See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h) | uance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (7)(B)(I). |
| defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreason | r so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of f the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact nable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial nits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| | uance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| | uance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given d case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv). |
| | uance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time reparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv). |
| | the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED:, 2013 | Hon. Randis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge |
| STIPULATED: JOYCE LEWITT | KATHRYN R. HAUN |

Assistant United States Attorney

Attorney for Defendant